



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರ

(ISO 9001:2015 ದಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯತೆ ಪಡೆದಿದೆ)

542, 5ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, 2ನೇ ಹಂತ, ಬಹುಮಹಡಿಗಳ ಕಟ್ಟಡ,
ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080 22353938, 22032561; ಫಾಕ್ಸ್: 22283541

ಜಾಲತಾಣ: kea.karnataka.gov.in



E-mail: keagok@karnataka.gov.in

Date: 14-06-2017

No. KEA 121 EVN 2014

To,
Dr. Narayana Raj. N,
#620, 6th Floor, Karnataka Housing Board,
High Rise Apartment,
Yelahanka New Town,
Bengaluru-560 065.

Sir,

Sub: Grading of final evaluation report on "The need and functioning of Malnad Area Development Board, Shimoga"-reg.

Ref: 1. Letter no. KEA 138 EVN 2014, dated: 04-09-2014 of the KEA.

2. Letter no. KEA 245 EVN 2016, dated: 22.03.2017 of the KEA.

I write and thank you for having provided inputs for improving the quality of the evaluation report of the study titled "Evaluation of the need and functioning of Malnad Area Development Board, Shimoga" The report was approved by the Technical Committee of Karnataka Evaluation Authority (KEA) in its 29th meeting held on 16th July 2016.

Herewith enclosed Chapter 2- Evaluation of reports commissioned by KEA (External Evaluation) and a blank form to grade the evaluation Report of Manual for Output Grading along with the copy of the final evaluation report. You are requested to go through the final report, rate it in the form appended and return to KEA within 4 days along with the final evaluation report.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Nodal Officer

Encl: As above.

686
27/6/2017

ANNEXURE-3 OF OUTPUT GRADING MANUAL

GRADING OF EVALUATION REPORT


Name of the study: <i>Evaluation of the need and functioning of Malnad Area Development Board, Shimoga</i>			
Receipt of final evaluation report: 07 th February 2017			
Sl No.	Sections	Maximum Marks	Marks Assigned
1	Executive Summary	10	7
2	Introduction & objectives	10	8
3	Methodology and area of study	10	7
4	Hypothesis and limitations of the study	10	7
5	Review of the literature	10	7
6	Analysis and discussion	10	7
7	Conclusions and recommendations	10	7
8	Importance of the study and limitations	10	8
9	Overall presentation	10	7
10	Relevance for policy implications	10	7
Total		100	72

Total Marks in figures: 72.

Total Marks in words: Seventy two only.

Grade: B

(Signature of Assessor)


Dr. Narayana Raj. N

Noted
ChDpam/ce
30/6/2017
Con-(C)